Case 1	:23-cr-00278-NRM	Document 5	Filed 07	/11/23	Page 1 of 18 PageID #: 12	1		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK							
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, . Docket No.							
4	. 1:23-CR-00278-NRM-2 Government,				R-UUZ/8-NRM-Z			
5	V.		•		lyn, New York			
6	ABU CHOWDHURY	•	. Monday, July 10, 2023 . 4:09 p.m.					
7	Defendant							
8								
9								
10	TRANSCRIPT OF ARRAIGNMENT BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHERYL L. POLLAK							
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE							
12	APPEARANCES:							
13	For the Government:			U.S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of New York				
14	STEPHANIE PAK, AUSA 271-A Cadman Plaza East				AK, AUSA			
15	Brooklyn, New York 90012 718-254-6187							
16								
17	BRACHA 90 Bro 23rd B			BIALE,	ESQ.			
				BRACHAH GOYKADOSH, ESQ. 90 Broad Street 23rd Floor New York, New York 10004				
18								
19	212-202-2600							
20	Also Present:			Iffat Lubna, Defendant Nina Shah, Interpreter				
21	Transcription Service: Superior Reporting Services LLC							
22					5032			
23				5-344-3				
24	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;							
25	transcript produced by transcription service.							

Case 1:23-cr-00278-NRM Document 5 Filed 07/11/23 Page 3 of 18 PageID #: 14 1 something, you can stop at any time. But what you must 2 understand is that anything you do say, except what you say 3 to Mr. Biale, your attorney, anything else can be used 4 against you. Do you understand that? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Have you seen a copy of the 7 indictment? Yes? You have to answer me orally because we're 8 recording this. 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. You're charged with on or about 11 May 11th, 2023, within the Eastern District of New York and 12 elsewhere that you, together with others, did knowingly and 13 intentionally kidnap and hold for ransom a person whose 14 identity was known to the grand jury, and that you used one 15 or more means, facilities, and instrumentalities of 16 interstate and foreign commerce, specifically cellular 17 telephones and the internet --18 MR. BIALE: If I could have a moment, Your Honor? 19 THE COURT: -- to commit the crimes. This is what 20 is charged in the indictment. Do you understand what you 2.1 have been charged with? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, do you have any concern 24 about whether or not she understands the charge? 25 MR. BIALE: No, Your Honor. I reviewed it with her

Case 1:23-cr-00278-NRM Document 5 Filed 07/11/23 Page 4 of 18 PageID #: 15 1 and then reviewed it a second time with the aid of the 2 interpreter, and I believe she understands the charge. 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And is she prepared 4 to enter a plea at this time? 5 MR. BIALE: Yes, Your Honor. On her behalf, I will 6 enter a plea of not quilty. 7 THE COURT: All right. And what is the 8 Government's position with respect to bail for Ms. Lubna? 9 MS. PAK: Your Honor, the people -- the Government, 10 rather, is seeking detention for Defendant Lubna as well. 11 THE COURT: And can you --12 MS. PAK: Your Honor --13 THE COURT: -- can you please give me some basis 14 for that? 15 MS. PAK: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, the 16 people -- the Government believes that Defendant Lubna is 17 also a danger to the community, and that there's a 18 significant risk of flight, and that no other combination of 19 conditions would secure her appearance or keep the community 20 safe. 2.1 Your Honor, this defendant's role in the kidnapping 22 that is alleged in the indictment is a very active and 23 willing one. This defendant --24 THE COURT: I'm sorry. You're going to have to

slow down because I want to make sure that the interpreter

25

Case 1:23-cr-00278-NRM Document 5 Filed 07/11/23 Page 5 of 18 PageID #: 16 1 can translate. 2 MS. PAK: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. So pause after every sentence if 4 that's possible. 5 MS. PAK: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Go ahead. 7 MS. PAK: This defendant lured the victim to the 8 location of the abduction, agreeing to meet with the victim 9 at a specific time and date. Not only did she lure the 10 victim to the location, she was also waiting inside the 11 minivan that pulled up that the victim was shoved into. I'd 12 also point out, Your Honor, that while the victim was 13 brutally assaulted, this victim also participated --14 THE COURT: Defendant. 15 MS. PAK: This -- sorry, this defendant, also 16 participated actively again in beating the victim with a rod. 17 At no point during the two days that this victim was 18 tortured, sodomized, brutally beaten did this victim seek 19 to -- did this defendant seem to extricate herself. At no 2.0 point did she seek to obtain assistance from the authorities.

Your Honor, I also spoke previously as to two incidents in which the co-defendant, Defendant Chowdhury, had had interactions with NYPD. And in those interactions, I have explained how Defendant Chowdhury sought to disobey

She was there until the very end.

2.1

22

23

24

25

- orders from law enforcement, sought to avoid identifying
 himself, and what I would add is that in each of these
 instances, this Defendant Lubna was not only present, but she
 was actively assisting Defendant Chowdhury in thwarting law
 enforcement's awful attempts. She was there to make sure
 that the police couldn't take Chowdhury's phone when he was
 arrested. And when Chowdhury had been pulled over, she got
- 9 the officer on-scene.

 10 Your Honor, I'd also point out that this defendant

 11 has no ties to the community. Her only tie to the community

 12 is to her co-defendant, Mr. Chowdhury. I would note that per

 13 the Pretrial Services report, that they do not recommend

release under any conditions for this defendant as well.

information that is provided has been uncorroborated.

out of the car and tried to get Chowdhury's phones back from

So in sum, Your Honor, given this defendant's

active role in this brutal crime, as well as her lack of any

ties to the community, she is both a flight risk, and a

danger to the community that no conditions can account for.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel.

Mr. Biale?

8

14

15

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. BIALE: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Again, I'm going to ask you to speak slowly so your client --

MR. BIALE: I know. I now have a little bit more

experience speaking with Ms. Lubna with the aid of the interpreter.

So first of all, Your Honor, I would just point out that under the Bail Reform Act, the Government cannot proceed to a detention hearing unless they prove that Ms. Lubna is a significant risk of flight. So consideration of dangerousness is premature at this point.

Nonetheless, on the particular circumstances here,

I submit, Your Honor, that Ms. Lubna is neither a significant
risk of flight or any risk of flight that cannot be mitigated
by conditions, nor is she a danger to the community. Ms.

Lubna is 23 years old. She came here a year and a half ago
from her native Bangladesh on a student visa. She has been
studying English as a second language through both online and
in-person classes. This September, she will have the
opportunity to begin to focus on her major, which is computer
science.

This opportunity is Ms. Lubna's great chance at her life for a better future, and she would not throw it away lightly. In addition, Ms. Lubna is pregnant. She is now approximately eight or nine weeks along. She has a high-risk pregnancy because of ovarian cysts that have been found during her prenatal care. Her doctors have advised that she has a high risk of miscarriage. And in addition, she's had, for the last several weeks, debilitating morning sickness.

- She has a July 26th appointment with her doctor that it's very important that she attend.
- I will return to this in a moment because I think
 that for reasons that the Court is very familiar with, the
 MDC is simply not a place that can take care of a person in
 Ms. Lubna's current situation, and I think that factor
 significantly weighs in favor of release. But let me first

address the Government's presentation.

The nature of the allegations here are no doubt serious, but I think it is without question that Ms. Lubna played a subordinate role. And while the Government has advised that she struck the victim, she did not, as far as I understand, participate in any of the worst facts that the Government alleges occurred during those two days. There is a significant backstory here in terms of the relationship between Ms. Lubna and the victim.

Obviously, I'm new to the case and I do not have access yet to the Government's evidence, but I think it suffices to say that the victim is not a savory or trustworthy person. Ms. Lubna has no prior criminal record. She has never been in any kind of trouble in her life. The NYPD interactions that the Government describe again involve Ms. Lubna as a subordinate figure to her fiance.

Now, of course, the Government may proceed by proffer at this point in terms of their evidence, but the

Case 1:23-cr-00278-NRM Document 5 Filed 07/11/23 Page 9 of 18 PageID #: 20

- 1 court is also instructed under the Bail Reform Act to consider the presumption of innocence. Ms. Lubna vehemently denies these allegations, and she voluntarily provided her passcode to the agents for her phone because she had nothing to hide. She will show up for court. She has every reason to do so, and we are -- we have one surety who is committed 7 who is working to get additional sureties and to put up some amount of cash, which although it's not going to be a very substantial amount, will be, for these individuals, a 10 significant deterrent for Ms. Lubna to flee. 11 So we think that this bail package does assure her 12 return to court and the safety of the community. The Court 13 can impose restrictions such as home detention and electronic monitoring to ensure that she remains at her residence. And
- 14

16 suggests, even assuming the allegations to be true, that Ms.

I do not think that there is any allegation here that

17 Lubna presents any danger to anyone in the absence of Mr.

18 Chowdhury.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally, Your Honor, to return to the conditions of the MDC, as I know Your Honor is very familiar, the conditions, especially in the women's facility, are nothing short of inhumane. Particularly with respect to medical care, the MDC has time again fallen down on its responsibilities. I have a client in another case currently who the MDC has failed to bring to regular ophthalmology

Case 1 23-cr-00278-NRM Document 5 Filed 07/11/23 Page 10 of 18 PageID #:021

- appointments. And as a result of their negligence, my client is blind.
- Given Ms. Lubna's high-risk pregnancy and the

 particular needs she has to be under the care of her doctor,

 to send her to the MDC is simply too risky and must be

 weighed heavily in the Court's consideration of the bail
- 8 THE COURT: Thank you.

7

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

auestion.

- 9 Did you want to respond or have anything?
- MS. PAK: Yes, Your Honor. Briefly, if I may.
- 11 THE COURT: Slowly.

and execution of this kidnapping.

- MS. PAK: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
- Your Honor, first to address Defense Counsel's

 allegation that there is a backstory behind what happened

 here, there is no backstory that can justify the details of

 the offense charged in this indictment. And furthermore,

 whether Defendant Lubna was subordinate or not is debatable.

 What is indisputable is that she was integral to the success

And the reference to a bail package, it's not here, it's not now, so I would respectfully submit, Your Honor, that that's not something to be considered before Your Honor's determination today. And other possibilities of conditions such as home confinement, I would point out on the Pretrial Services report that when asked where she lives, she

Case 1 23-cr-00278-NRM Document 5 Filed 07/11/23 Page 11 of 18 PageID #:122

- did not provide an address and said she couldn't, but that it
 was somewhere in Queens.
- So if this defendant were to be confined somewhere,

 it's unclear where that would even be. Thank you, Your

 Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 7 MR. BIALE: Your Honor, if I may just very briefly?
- 8 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

should she be released.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. BIALE: I think with respect to the address, there may have been a misunderstanding in the interview. Ms. Lubna did provide her address to counsel. I think that there may have been something lost in translation, but she has been living at the same residence for some time and can return there and will be providing that address to Pretrial Services

THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you, Mr. Biale. And let me just start by addressing the very first point that you made with respect to the Bail Reform Act and the need for a showing before a detention hearing is even raised. In this case, I think the Government has more than shown that she was a very, very serious risk of flight. She has absolutely no ties to this country except her student visa, according to the Pretrial report. She moved here in 2022. She is a citizen of Bangladesh. Her parents reside in Bangladesh and she maintains frequent contact with them. Her only point of

Case 1 23-cr-00278-NRM Document 5 Filed 07/11/23 Page 12 of 18 PageID #: 23

contact here appears, at least from what I'm reading in the Pretrial report, appears to be her contact with her fiance who obviously is the co-defendant in this case.

He, according to Pretrial, financially supports her, takes care of the rent and other bills, and pays for her schooling. If he is incarcerated, which he was just detained probably about an hour ago, I'm not clear who is going to support her, care for her, and even pay for her schooling considering that, according to her own statement, she has not been employed except perhaps periodically working with her finance at his grocery store.

So there's no ties to this country that I can see. No residence, no employment, and she has very significant ties to Bangladesh, and a lot of reasons to flee. So I find that she is a very serious risk of flight. And I don't think that you've offered a specific enough package at this point to overcome that concern about her being a flight risk.

You've said you have someone who is putting together a bail package and may even be able to put up some cash. But for me to release her on any bail package whatsoever, I need to know all of the suretors, who they are, what their employment statement is, what their status in this country is, what their relationship to Ms. Chowdhury is. I need to have an idea as to how much we're talking about in terms of a bail package.

1 Yes. We can consider such things as home 2 detention, home confinement, GPS monitoring. There are a 3 whole slew of other conditions that the Court could consider 4 and would consider, but you need to put together a much 5 clearer, more precise bail package. And that being said, I 6 am not making any findings today with respect to the 7 Government's point about danger here. It is clear from the 8 Government's proffer, and I appreciate that it is only a 9 proffer, that Ms. Lubna was not just an innocent bystander 10 who happened to be in the residence when all of this 11 occurred, but that she was in fact instrumental in luring the 12 victim, and then according to the Government, if I understood 13 correctly, at least in part took place -- took part in 14 assaulting the victim during the course of these two days in 15 which he was held by her and her fiance. 16 So all of that will factor into a consideration of 17 whether or not she poses a danger to the community. But as I 18 said, I'm not deciding that issue today. I'm much more 19 concerned with the risk of flight, which I find she poses, in 20 this matter, and while I do completely agree with you 100 21 percent about the concerns of care at the MDC, at this point, 22 I can't release her without some better, more formalized bail

So that being said, I'm ordering her detained pending trial, but obviously, you always have leave to come

23

24

25

package.

Case 1 23-cr-00278-NRM Document 5 Filed 07/11/23 Page 14 of 18 PageID #: 25

- 1 | back to the Court and make a bail application once you have
- 2 put together something a little bit more concrete.
- MR. BIALE: Your Honor, I understand. And of
- 4 | course, one of the challenges here is that Ms. Lubna was not
- 5 | brought into a courthouse until right before the 2:00 time,
- 6 | so we haven't had as much time as we normally would. So what
- 7 | I would ask, Your Honor, is to -- respectfully is to set this
- 8 down for a second call in an hour or so, 6 p.m., and we will
- 9 | work on putting together a package by that point?
- 10 THE COURT: I apologize, but I cannot do that. You
- 11 | can come back tomorrow. I will be on the bench tomorrow. We
- 12 | can put it on for 11:00 if you think you would have enough
- 13 | time to put together something before then. Otherwise, we
- 14 | can put it on for the 2:00 call, whichever works better for
- 15 you.
- MR. BIALE: Let me get back to the Court about
- 17 | that. I'll email Felix because I have to -- I need to
- 18 | consult with her. I need to decide --
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. But we need to make sure that
- 20 | the marshals know to bring her over, so I guess we'll put a
- 21 | 475 in for 11:00 --
- MR. BIALE: That's fine. Sure. I appreciate that,
- 23 Your Honor.
- 24 | THE COURT: -- and if you can't do it until 2,
- 25 | we'll just hold her.

Case 1 23-cr-00278-NRM Document 5 Filed 07/11/23 Page 15 of 18 PageID #: 26

1 In the meantime, the next appearance before the 2 district judge in this case I understand is on August 4th? I 3 have a request to exclude time between now and then. Is that 4 correct, Counsel? 5 MS. PAK: Yes, Your Honor. 6 MR. BIALE: Correct. No objection, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right. So Ms. Lubna, under the 8 Constitution and the laws of the United States, you are 9 entitled to a speedy trial within 70 days of the filing of 10 the indictment. That's the charge I read to you a few 11 minutes ago. What your attorney and the Government's 12 attorney have asked me to do is to exclude or not count the 13 time from today until August 4th, which is when you will be 14 meeting with Judge Morrison, who is the district judge on 15 this case. And during that time, the Government is going to 16 provide your attorney with evidence about the case and engage 17 in plea negotiations to see if in fact the case could be 18 resolved without the need for a trial. 19 Do you understand that? 2.0 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2.1 THE COURT: Okay. Do you wish me to enter this 22 order of excludable delay to give your attorney time to get 23 evidence from the Government and to discuss a possible plea? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

Case 1 23-cr-00278-NRM Document 5 Filed 07/11/23 Page 16 of 18 PageID #: 27

- 1 MR. BIALE: Just to clarify, Your Honor, we are 2 agreeing to the order in order to receive discovery. I'm 3 not, at this point -- I don't, at this point, know whether 4 there will be any plea negotiations given what I understand 5 about the nature of the case. So we're consenting on the 6 basis of receiving discovery. 7 THE COURT: Oh, so you want me to take out the part 8 here that says that you want to -- I'm sorry --9 MR. BIALE: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Do you want me to take that out? 11 MR. BIALE: I would appreciate that. Yes. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Pretty standard, but all right. 1.3 I understand, but it's not for every MR. BIALE: 14 case. Can I have a moment to confer with my client, Your 15 Honor? 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 MR. BIALE: Your Honor, if I can just ask whether 18 the marshals will be able to keep Ms. Lubna at the courthouse 19 for any period of time now so that we can go raise this issue 20 with the district judge? 2.1 THE COURT: You want to appeal? 22
- MR. BIALE: I think that we may want to take an appeal just based on -- I think given her circumstances, Your Honor --
- THE COURT: You offered absolutely no package, but

Case 1 23-cr-00278-NRM Document 5 Filed 07/11/23 Page 17 of 18 PageID #: 28 1 go ahead. Obviously --2 MR. BIALE: Your Honor, I understand --3 THE COURT: -- I don't know if Judge Morrison is 4 around. We can certainly call. 5 Felix, can you find out if she's there? Certainly 6 the marshals will hold her until the judge is able to see 7 her. 8 Okay. Well, you can sit around and wait and see 9 what the judge says. All right. So I have one more thing I 10 need to do. I direct the prosecution to comply with this 11 obligation under Brady v. Maryland and its progeny to 12 disclose to the defense all information, whether admissible 13 or not, that is favorable to the defendant, material, either 14 to guilt or punishment known to the prosecution. Possible 15 consequences for noncompliance may include dismissal of 16 individual charges or the entire case, exclusion of the 17 evidence, and professional discipline or court sanctions on 18 the attorneys responsible. 19 I will be entering a written order more fully 20 describing this obligation and the possible consequences of 2.1 failing to meet it, and I direct the prosecution to review 22

and comply with that order. Does the prosecutor confirm that you understand your obligations and will comply with them?

MS. PAK: Yes, Your Honor.

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

Case 1	23-cr-00278-NRM Document 5 Filed 07/11/23 Page 18 of 18 PageID #::29					
1	MR. BIALE: Thank you, Your Honor.					
2	THE COURT: The only other thing is you might want					
3	to think about whether or not she needs any medical attention					
4	if she is detailed.					
5	MR. BIALE: Thank you, Judge.					
6	(Proceedings adjourned at 4:42 p.m.)					
7						
8	TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE					
9	I certify that the foregoing is a correct					
10	transcript from the electronic sound recording of the					
11	proceedings in the above-entitled matter.					
12						
13	July 11, 2023					
14	Courtney Montgomery					
15						
16	Courtney Montgomery DATE					
17	Legal Transcriber					
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						